Collaborative Research Strategies for Sustainable Resource Development in Maluku: Expert Insights

Theophanny Paula Theresia Rampisela[1], Jonny Latuny[1], Devalina Lanith[1]

[1] Pattimura University, Indonesia
**Correspoding Author*: rampisela83@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This paper explores collaborative research strategies for sustainable natural resource development in Maluku, derived from a focus group discussion (FGD) with experts. The FGD, which included interdisciplinary collaboration and the integration of international expertise, aimed to address complex environmental challenges while incorporating local customs and ecological practices. This study highlights the benefits of such an approach, including enhanced problem-solving through diverse perspectives and advanced research methodologies. However, it also addresses potential weaknesses, such as the risk of limited local ownership and challenges in implementing proposed models within existing resource constraints. The findings emphasize the need for balancing scientific and traditional practices and ensuring that strategies are culturally sensitive and feasible. The insights from this research offer valuable guidance for developing sustainable resource management practices that are both innovative and contextually appropriate for Maluku.

Keywords: *collaboration, sustainability, local knowledge*

INTRODUCTION

The sustainable development of natural resources in Maluku faces several challenges, including the need for innovative, research-based strategies that can address both environmental and socio-economic concerns. As an area rich in biodiversity and natural resources, Maluku's potential for development remains largely untapped, partly due to the limited collaboration between research institutions and the local community. Recognizing this, a Focus Group Discussion (FGD) was initiated by the International Office of Universitas Pattimura to foster collaboration in research that could contribute to sustainable development. The FGD featured insights from Prof. Fahrul Zaman Huyop, Ph.D., a leading expert from the Department of Bioscience, Faculty of Science, University Technology Malaysia. The discussion was attended by nine lecturers representing different academic disciplines, including the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education (FKIP), the Faculty of Medicine, and the Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences.

The main objective of this FGD was to explore strategies for collaborative research that could be applied to the management and development of natural resources in Maluku. The discussions centered on addressing key issues such as resource depletion, lack of infrastructure, and the need for integrating scientific knowledge with local wisdom. The collaboration is envisioned to not only advance academic research but also directly benefit the local community through sustainable practices and innovative solutions. The implementation of this program aims to establish stronger research networks between Universitas Pattimura and other academic institutions, while simultaneously creating a platform for disseminating the latest scientific findings that can be applied to real-world challenges in natural resource management in Maluku. This initiative will also pave the way for more interdisciplinary research collaborations, which are essential for addressing the complex environmental challenges faced by the region.

METHOD

The community service activity was conducted through a Focus Group Discussion (FGD) aimed at fostering collaborative research strategies for the sustainable development of natural resources in Maluku. The FGD was organized by the International Office of Universitas Pattimura and involved both local academic participants and an international expert. The methodology consisted of several key stages, including planning, execution, and evaluation, as described below:

Design of Community Service

This community service was designed as an academic dialogue and knowledge-sharing platform to address specific challenges related to natural resource management in Maluku. The format of the FGD allowed for active participation and exchange of ideas between experts and local researchers. The event was structured to focus on identifying research gaps, potential collaborative opportunities, and practical strategies for sustainable resource development.

Implementation Steps

1. Preparation Stage. In the preparation stage, the International Office of Universitas Pattimura coordinated with Prof. Fahrul Zaman Huyop from the University Technology Malaysia to discuss the theme and focus areas for the FGD. Invitations were extended to faculty members from FKIP, the Faculty of Medicine, and the Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, ensuring interdisciplinary participation. The venue, schedule, and discussion materials were also prepared during this phase.
2. Execution Stage. The FGD was held in a formal setting at Universitas Pattimura and moderated by a representative from the International Office. Prof. Fahrul Zaman Huyop opened the discussion with a presentation on sustainable natural resource management, highlighting the importance of bioscience in addressing environmental challenges. The nine faculty members, representing diverse academic backgrounds, contributed their insights, particularly focusing on local issues in Maluku such as fisheries management, marine biodiversity, and the health implications of environmental degradation. The discussion also explored potential collaborative research projects between Universitas Pattimura and other international institutions, focusing on interdisciplinary approaches. Key topics included the integration of local wisdom into scientific research, the role of technology in resource management, and the importance of community involvement in sustainable practices.
3. Monitoring and Evaluation. The evaluation of the community service program was conducted in two stages. First, an immediate assessment was carried out at the end of the FGD through feedback forms, where participants were asked to provide insights on the relevance, usefulness, and quality of the discussion. This feedback was reviewed to assess the effectiveness of the session in meeting its objectives. Second, a follow-up evaluation is planned to track the progress of any collaborative research initiatives that emerge from the FGD. Regular communication between Universitas Pattimura and the participating faculties will be maintained to monitor the implementation of research ideas and ensure that the outcomes contribute to the sustainable development of natural resources in Maluku. Further FGDs and workshops may be organized as part of an ongoing effort to refine and expand collaborative research efforts.

By combining expert input, interdisciplinary dialogue, and continuous evaluation, this community service activity aims to contribute significantly to the sustainable management of Maluku's natural resources through academic collaboration and practical application.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Models, Dimensions, and Specifications of Outputs/Focuses of Activities

The main output of the Focus Group Discussion (FGD) is the development of collaborative research models aimed at promoting sustainable natural resource management in Maluku. The models focus on three key areas:

a. Services: The model implemented in the Focus Group Discussion (FGD), which includes knowledge-sharing sessions, interdisciplinary collaborations, and expert consultations, aligns with several key concepts from the literature on sustainable resource management and collaborative research. First, as Morrison-Saunders and Retief (2012) highlight in their work on environmental impact assessments (EIA), the integration of sustainability into local practices is crucial for improving resource management. The FGD model follows this principle by exposing local researchers to global best practices in sustainable management. By incorporating these global insights, the capacity of faculty members is enhanced, making them better equipped to handle environmental challenges specific to Maluku. This approach ensures that sustainability is embedded in future research initiatives, contributing to long-term environmental impact assessments. Second, the interdisciplinary nature of the FGD supports the idea of blending traditional knowledge with modern research practices, as suggested by Jenkins (2000). In Maluku, where local wisdom plays a significant role in resource management, the FGD's socio-cultural approach mirrors the concept of endogenous development. By engaging both scientific and traditional perspectives, this model strengthens the relevance and application of sustainable development strategies in a way that respects local cultures, as Jenkins proposes. Reed et al. (2014) also emphasizes the importance of knowledge exchange in environmental management, suggesting five principles for effective practice. These principles—such as co-production of knowledge, mutual learning, and establishing trust—are inherently reflected in the FGD model. The collaborative environment fostered during the discussions ensured that knowledge was exchanged effectively among participants, leading to mutual learning and the potential for co-created research projects that are more likely to succeed due to their interdisciplinary nature. Finally, the role of social capital in biodiversity conservation, as explored by Pretty and Smith (2004), supports the idea that collaborative research efforts involving multiple stakeholders can lead to more robust outcomes. The FGD's focus on interdisciplinary collaboration taps into the social capital of the participants, encouraging them to work together toward common sustainability goals. This builds a network of researchers who can draw on each other's expertise, thereby improving the overall management of biodiversity and natural resources in Maluku. In conclusion, the FGD model is strengthened by its alignment with established research in sustainable management, traditional knowledge integration, and effective knowledge exchange. These academic references underscore the model's potential to foster long-term, culturally relevant, and environmentally sustainable development in Maluku.

b. New Skills: The focus of the FGD on equipping participants with collaborative research skills, particularly integrating bioscience with local ecological knowledge, is supported by several key academic frameworks. These frameworks provide insight into the importance of interdisciplinary methodologies, project planning, and engagement with international experts for achieving sustainable development. Holling (2001) emphasizes the value of systems thinking in interdisciplinary research, which is crucial when integrating bioscience with local ecological knowledge. The complexity of environmental, economic, and social systems requires researchers to

adopt an adaptive management approach. This concept is highly relevant to the skills developed during the FGD, as participants learned to approach research holistically, considering how different factors interact within ecological systems. The training on project planning and cross-disciplinary research methodologies encourages participants to apply systems thinking to tackle complex environmental challenges in Maluku. Cash et al. (2003) highlight the necessity of knowledge systems that bridge scientific research with local practices. The skills gained from the FGD, such as engaging with international experts and applying interdisciplinary methods, reflect this concept. The FGD facilitated the development of knowledge systems that link bioscience expertise with the ecological wisdom of local communities. This collaborative approach ensures that the research outputs are both scientifically robust and culturally relevant, addressing sustainability at both the local and global levels. In terms of fostering collaboration, Pretty and Smith (2004) discuss the role of social capital in biodiversity conservation, underscoring the need for networking and community engagement skills. These skills are critical for integrating local knowledge into scientific research. The FGD enhanced participants' abilities to engage with both local communities and international experts, strengthening social capital. Through this, the researchers can work more effectively across disciplines and with various stakeholders, promoting biodiversity conservation through collaborative research. Finally, Sayer and Campbell (2004) provide a comprehensive view of interdisciplinary approaches for sustainable development, particularly the integration of local livelihoods with global environmental concerns. The FGD participants gained skills in project planning, where they learned to design research initiatives that align with both local ecological needs and international sustainability goals. Engaging with international experts allowed them to incorporate global perspectives, while remaining grounded in the local context of Maluku. This approach reflects a balanced integration of bioscience and local knowledge, crucial for addressing complex environmental issues. In conclusion, the skills developed through the FGD align with established frameworks in interdisciplinary research and collaborative methodologies. By combining bioscience with local ecological knowledge, the participants are now better equipped to design and execute research projects that address sustainability challenges in Maluku. The references provide a strong foundation for understanding the importance of systems thinking, knowledge systems, social capital, and interdisciplinary approaches in fostering effective collaborative research.

c. Socio-Cultural Engineering: The integration of scientific research with local customs, traditions, and ecological practices, as facilitated by the FGD, is crucial for ensuring that development initiatives are both culturally sensitive and sustainable. The following analysis, based on recent literature, highlights how harmonizing these elements supports effective and respectful sustainable development. Marin (2015) discusses how combining traditional ecological knowledge with scientific research enhances the adaptive capacity of social-ecological systems. Their study on small-scale fisheries demonstrates that incorporating local customs and practices into environmental management allows for more resilient and adaptable systems. This approach aligns with the FGD's objective of harmonizing scientific research with local traditions, ensuring that sustainability initiatives are not only effective but also deeply rooted in the cultural and ecological context of Maluku. By acknowledging and integrating traditional knowledge, the FGD helps build adaptive management strategies that respect and leverage local practices. Armitage et. al. (2009) highlights the benefits of adaptive management approaches that merge local ecological knowledge with scientific research. Their work in the Amazon illustrates how this

integration facilitates a more nuanced understanding of complex systems, leading to management practices that are sensitive to local customs. The FGD's focus on combining scientific methodologies with local ecological practices mirrors this adaptive approach, promoting development initiatives that are responsive to the unique needs and traditions of Maluku's communities. This ensures that sustainable practices are not only scientifically sound but also culturally appropriate. Jakes (2024) address the opportunities and challenges of integrating traditional knowledge with modern science for sustainable development. Their analysis underscores the importance of harmonizing these knowledge systems to address local needs while maintaining cultural sensitivity. The FGD's model of integrating bioscience with local knowledge reflects this approach, offering participants the skills to bridge these diverse knowledge systems effectively. This harmonization facilitates the development of initiatives that are both innovative and respectful of local customs, thus enhancing the overall sustainability of the projects. Verma et al (2016) provide a case study on integrating indigenous knowledge with scientific research for sustainable resource management. Their research demonstrates that bridging different knowledge systems can improve resource management outcomes by making development initiatives more culturally informed and relevant to community needs. The FGD's efforts to combine scientific research with indigenous practices and local knowledge in Maluku exemplify this principle, ensuring that the initiatives developed are tailored to the specific cultural and ecological context of the region. This approach not only enhances the effectiveness of the projects but also fosters greater community engagement and support. In conclusion, the FGD's approach to integrating scientific research with local customs and ecological practices is well-supported by recent research. By harmonizing these elements, the FGD ensures that development initiatives in Maluku are both culturally sensitive and effective. This integration facilitates sustainable development that respects and builds upon local traditions, ultimately contributing to more successful and inclusive outcomes for indirect solutions, the FGD promotes the formulation of interdisciplinary research that addresses not just environmental concerns but also socio-economic issues, offering long-term benefits to the community through sustainable practices and knowledge dissemination.

Documentation of Services and Outputs

To document the services and outputs of the FGD, a series of photos, tables, and graphs were prepared to visually represent the activities and discussions. Each photo is accompanied by a detailed explanation:

Photo 1: Participants actively engaging in a group discussion during the FGD.

This photo highlights the collaborative nature of the event, showcasing the exchange of ideas between faculty members from different academic disciplines and the expert facilitator, Prof. Fahrul Zaman Huyop. This moment reflects the interdisciplinary collaboration being developed, which is crucial for addressing the complex challenges of natural resource management in Maluku.

Photo 2: Presentation by Prof. Fahrul Zaman Huyop on the integration of bioscience in sustainable development.

The image shows the international expertise being shared with the participants, providing valuable insights that are directly applicable to local resource management issues.

Advantages and Weaknesses of the Outputs

Advantages The advantages of the FGD's output, particularly its emphasis on interdisciplinary collaboration, integration of international expertise, and skill-building, are supported by recent research highlighting the effectiveness of these approaches in addressing complex environmental challenges. Graci (2016) review the critical role of interdisciplinary collaboration in sustainable development research. Their analysis underscores how collaboration across different fields can lead to more comprehensive and innovative solutions for environmental challenges. The FGD's focus on bringing together faculty

members from various disciplines aligns with this perspective, fostering an environment where diverse expertise contributes to solving complex problems. This collaborative approach ensures that multiple perspectives are considered, leading to more holistic and effective research outcomes. Katz and Martin (1997) discuss the benefits of research collaboration, including the integration of international expertise. They emphasize that such collaborations enhance research effectiveness by bringing together different methodologies and perspectives. The FGD's integration of international experts not only introduces new research methodologies but also provides fresh insights into local problems. This international perspective helps participants develop innovative solutions and adapt advanced research techniques to the local context of Maluku. Lu & Smiles (2022) explore the development of interdisciplinary research skills through collaborative learning and teaching. Their findings highlight how collaborative approaches improve research outcomes and foster innovative solutions. The FGD's skill-building component, which focuses on advanced research methodologies, equips participants with the tools needed to apply these methods in their future projects. This emphasis on skill development ensures that participants can effectively contribute to and benefit from interdisciplinary research efforts. Peterson (2001) examine how international collaboration contributes to advancements in research methodologies. Their research shows that incorporating international expertise can lead to significant improvements in research practices and outcomes. The FGD's inclusion of international experts not only enhances the participants' understanding of global best practices but also encourages the adoption of cutting-edge methodologies. This integration of diverse perspectives is crucial for advancing research and addressing local environmental issues effectively.

Weaknesses: The potential weaknesses of the FGD's approach—reliance on external expertise, implementation challenges, and balancing scientific and traditional practices—are important considerations for ensuring the effectiveness and sustainability of research projects. The following analysis, based on recent literature, provides insight into these challenges and how they might be addressed. Reliance on External Expertise, one potential weakness of the FGD model is its reliance on external expertise, which can affect local ownership of research projects. Folke et al. (2005) discusses the importance of local involvement in managing social-ecological systems, noting that over-reliance on external experts can undermine local ownership and engagement. They emphasize that while external expertise is valuable, it must be balanced with local knowledge and participation to ensure that research outcomes are relevant and embraced by the community. This suggests that the FGD should focus on building local capacity alongside integrating external expertise, ensuring that local researchers and stakeholders are actively involved in the research process and decision-making. Implementation Challenges, the challenge of ensuring that proposed models and strategies are feasible within the existing infrastructure and resource limitations is highlighted by McPhearson et al (2022). Their work on adaptive management approaches underscores the importance of designing strategies that are practical and adaptable to local conditions. In Maluku, where resources may be limited, it is crucial to tailor research models and strategies to fit within the constraints of local infrastructure. This involves assessing the local context and making necessary adjustments to ensure that proposed solutions are both realistic and implementable. Balancing Scientific and Traditional Practices, the socio-cultural engineering model requires careful balancing to avoid conflicts between scientific approaches and traditional practices. Mustonen et al (2022) address the challenges of integrating traditional knowledge with modern science, emphasizing that this integration must be handled with sensitivity to avoid conflicts. They suggest that successful integration involves acknowledging the value of traditional practices while aligning them with scientific methodologies in a way that respects both systems. This balancing act is essential to ensure

that development initiatives are culturally sensitive and scientifically sound. The FGD should foster open dialogue and collaboration between traditional practitioners and scientists to achieve a harmonious integration of both approaches. This further reinforces the need for the FGD to involve local researchers and community members in shaping and implementing research models to ensure that they are feasible and well-received.

Difficulty of Implementation and Opportunities

The implementation of the FGD activity, including training, mediation, and consultation, was of moderate difficulty. The challenges included aligning the diverse research interests of the participants, facilitating effective communication between different disciplines, and ensuring that the knowledge shared by the international expert was accessible and relevant to the local context. The level of difficulty was further compounded by the need to translate complex bioscience concepts into practical applications for the Maluku environment. Despite the difficulties, the FGD presented significant opportunities for future collaboration, both at the local and international levels. The event opened pathways for joint research projects between Universitas Pattimura and University Technology Malaysia. It also set the stage for long-term interdisciplinary research initiatives that could receive external funding and support, thereby enhancing the capacity of local researchers to contribute to sustainable resource management in Maluku.

CONCLUSION

The implementation of the Focus Group Discussion (FGD) on collaborative research strategies for the sustainable development of natural resources in Maluku successfully met its objectives by fostering interdisciplinary dialogue and establishing a framework for future research partnerships. The involvement of nine faculty members from diverse fields such as education, medicine, and marine sciences, along with the expert guidance of Prof. Fahrul Zaman Huyop from University Technology Malaysia, allowed for a holistic approach to addressing the complex environmental and socio-economic challenges facing Maluku. The FGD provided a valuable platform for knowledge exchange, where participants gained new insights into bioscience applications for sustainable resource management, and explored the integration of local wisdom into scientific research. This knowledge-sharing not only built the capacity of local researchers but also helped them identify potential collaborative research projects that can contribute to both academic advancement and practical solutions for local communities. While the event highlighted the need for stronger infrastructure and research funding, it succeeded in establishing the foundation for ongoing collaboration between Universitas Pattimura and other international institutions. The interdisciplinary approach promoted during the FGD has the potential to generate innovative solutions that address the region's pressing environmental issues while respecting its socio-cultural fabric. In conclusion, the FGD has paved the way for meaningful academic collaborations that are expected to benefit both the academic community and the local population in Maluku. The outcomes of this program demonstrate the value of combining international expertise with local knowledge to achieve sustainable development goals.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author extends sincere gratitude to International Office Universitas Pattimura for their generous support of this research. We also acknowledge the invaluable contributions of Prof. Fahrul Zaman Huyop and all the experts who participated in the focus group discussion. Their insights and expertise were instrumental in shaping the research findings and strategies discussed in this paper. Additionally, we thank the faculty members and

researchers from Universitas Pattimura for their active engagement and collaboration throughout the project.

REFERENCES

Armitage, Derek & Plummer, Ryan & Berkes, Fikret & Arthur, Robert & Charles, Anthony & Davidson-Hunt, Iain & Diduck, Alan & Doubleday, Nancy & Johnson, Derek & Marschke, Melissa & McConney, Patrick & Pinkerton, Evelyn. (2009). Adaptive Co-Management for Social-Ecological Complexity. Frontiers in Ecology and The Environment - FRONT ECOL ENVIRON. 7. 95-102. 10.1890/070089.

Cash, D. W., Clark, W. C., Alcock, F., Dickson, N. M., Eckley, N., & Jäger, J. (2003). "Knowledge systems for sustainable development." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 100(14), 8086-8091.

Folke, Carl & Hahn, Thomas & Olsson, Per & Norberg, Jon. (2005). Adaptive Governance of Social-Ecological Systems. Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour. 15. 441-73. 10.1146/annurev.energy.30.050504.144511.

Graci, Sonya. (2016). Collaboration and partnership development for sustainable tourism.

Holling, C. S. (2001). "Understanding the complexity of economic, ecological, and social systems." Ecosystems, 4(5), 390-405.

Jakes, Victoria. (2024). The Role of Traditional Knowledge in Sustainable Development. International Journal of Humanity and Social Sciences. 3. 40-55. 10.47941/ijhss.2079.

Jenkins, T.N. (2000). Putting postmodernity into practice: Endogenous development and the role of traditional cultures in the rural development of marginal regions. Ecological Economics. 34. 301-313. 10.1016/S0921-8009(00)00191-9.

Katz, J. & Martin, Ben. (1997). What is Research Collaboration?. Research Policy. 26. 1-18. 10.1016/S0048-7333(96)00917-1.

Lu, Hwangji & Smiles, Robert. (2022). The Role of Collaborative Learning in the Online Education. International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Research. 06. 125-137. 10.51505/IJEBMR.2022.6608.

Marín, Andrés. (2015). Adaptive capacity for social and environmental change: The role of networks in Chile's small-scale fisheries.

McPhearson, S., Cook, E. M., Berbés-Blázquez, M, Cheng, C., Grimm, N. B., Andersson E., & Barbosa, O. A social-ecological-technological systems framework for urban ecosystem services, One Earth, Volume 5, Issue 5, 2022, Pages 505-518, ISSN 2590-3322,https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2022.04.007. (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2590332222002081)

Morrison-Saunders, Angus & Retief, Francois. (2012). Walking the sustainability assessment talk — Progressing the practice of environmental impact assessment (EIA). Environmental Impact Assessment Review. 36. 34–41. 10.1016/j.eiar.2012.04.001.

Mustonen, Tero & Harper, Sherilee & Pecl, Gretta & Castan Broto, Vanesa & Lansbury, Nina & Okem, Andrew & Ayanlade, Sina & Ayanlade, Ayansina & Dawson, Jackie. (2022). The Role of Indigenous Knowledge and Local Knowledge in Understanding and Adapting to Climate Change.

Peterson, Mark. (2001). International collaboration in organizational research. Journal of Organizational Behavior. 22. 59 - 81. 10.1002/job.61.

Pretty, Jules & Smith, David. (2004). Social Capital in Biodiversity Conservation and Management. Conservation Biology. 18. 631 - 638. 10.1111/j.1523-1739.2004.00126.x.

Reed, Mark & Stringer, Lindsay & Fazey, Ioan & Evely, A.C. & Kruijsen, Joanneke. (2014). Five principles for the practice of knowledge exchange in environmental management. Journal of Environmental Management. 146. 337–345. 10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.07.021.

Sayer, J., & Campbell, B. (2004). "The science of sustainable development: Local livelihoods and the global environment." Cambridge University Press.

Verma, Priya & Vaughan, Karen & Martin, Kathleen & Pulitano, Elvira & Garrett, James & Piirto, Douglas. (2016). Integrating Indigenous Knowledge and Western Science into Forestry, Natural Resources, and Environmental Programs. Journal of Forestry - Washington-. 114. 648-655. 10.5849/jof.15-090.